UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,610	06/05/2006	Tomoaki Masuda	062589	5485	
	7590 02/20/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/581,61	0	MASUDA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Alain L. Ba		1792			
 Period for	· The MAILING DATE of this communicat · Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ac	ddress		
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL isons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication before the provision of the mailing date of this communication or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[7] [Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 05 June 2006					
· —	•	☐ This action is n	on-final				
′=	, -			secution as to the	a marite ie		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
,	closed in accordance with the practice t	under Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1900 O.D. 11, 40	00.0.210.			
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election rec	uirement				
٠ احکار٥	Diami(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction a	and/or election rec	direment.				
Applicatio	on Papers						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
•	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the I	Examiner.			
=	Applicant may not request that any objection		-				
					FR 1 121(d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·		tilo Examinor. He	no the attached office	, totion of form i	10 102.		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/581,610 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to method.

Group II, claim(s) 8-12, drawn to product.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: JP- 7-134210 and WO 2004/013667 disclose a method of fabricating a polarizing film by uniaxially stretching a resin film such as a polyvinyl alcohol-based film in a fabrication process including a swelling step and a dyeing step following the swelling step, comprising the steps of immersing a resin film in bath liquids in at least two or more swelling baths in sequence in said swelling step. Regarding the bath temperatures, such would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art as achieving a particular quality desired.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Seckel on 2-17-09 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Mon. thru Fri.).

Application/Control Number: 10/581,610 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alain L. Bashore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792